

To: Stefano Valentino

From: Mick Bishop

Senior Executive
Legislative Development Team
Railway Safety Directorate
Office of Rail Regulation
One Kemble Street
London WC2A 4AN

Engineering Standards and Safety Manager
Porterbrook Leasing Co. Ltd
Burdett House
Becket Street
Derby
DE1 1JP

01332 262429
07786 338908

2 June 2010

The Railways and Guided Transport (Miscellaneous Amendments) Regulations 2010: Consultation Response

Dear Stefano,

Please find below limited comments on The Railways and Guided Transport (Miscellaneous Amendments) Regulations 2010. In my view the consultation documentation is well written and I have no significant comments regarding the legislation.

Yours, faithfully

Mick Bishop

Consultation questions

Question 1: Do you agree that we should not change the current position on the exclusions from ROGS? If you do not agree, please explain why.

Yes

Question 2: Do you know of any circumstances in which vehicles registered and maintained according to the laws of a non-EU Member State enter Great Britain? If so, please explain.

No

Question 3: Do you know of any circumstances in which vehicles with track gauges other than standard gauge enters Great Britain? If so, please explain.

No

Question 4: Do you know of any circumstances in which military equipment or special transport will require an ad hoc permit to be delivered prior to being in service? If so, please explain.

No

Question 5: Do you know of any circumstances in which those vehicles that are excluded from the mainline railway, as defined in ROGS, may require an ad hoc permit to be delivered prior to being placed in service in Great Britain? If so, please explain.

No

Question 6: Do you agree that RAIB should be the investigating body for accidents on tramways in Scotland?

Yes

Question 7: Do you have any comments on the new definitions? If you have any objections, please could you explain why and suggest an alternative.

“entity in charge of maintenance” As this is a relatively new concept Porterbrook fully support the ORR in their proposal to provide guidance on this issue. It is how important that this guidance is clear and available for the implementation of the updated regulations.

Question 8: Do you agree that these regulations should contain the date by which domestic vehicles should have been registered in the NVR?

As the Railways (Interoperability) Regulations 2010 includes the date for “Domestic Vehicles” it does not appear to add benefit here.

Question 9: Do you have any comments on the proposal to extend the meaning of work to include voluntary work?

No

Question 10: Do you have any other comments to make in relation to this consultation document?

No